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#### Abstract

Reaction of the dimeric species $\left[\left(\eta^{3}-\operatorname{lnd}\right) \operatorname{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\right]_{2}(1)\left(\operatorname{lnd}=\right.$ indenyl) with $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ gives the complex $\left(\eta^{3-5}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(3)$, whereas the analogous reactions with $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Bn}=\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)$ or pyridine (py) afford the complexes trans- $\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}(4)\right.$, py (5)). Similarly, the one-pot reaction of 1 with a mixture of $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ and the phosphine ligands $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ gives the mixed-ligand, amino and phosphine species $\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cy}(6 \mathbf{a}), \mathrm{Ph}(\mathbf{6 b}))$; the latter complexes can also be prepared by addition of $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ to $\left(\eta^{3-5}-\operatorname{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cy}(\mathbf{2 a})$, $\mathrm{Ph}(\mathbf{2 b}))$. Complexes 6 undergo a gradual decomposition in solution to generate the dinuclear Pd' compounds $\left(\mu, \eta^{3}-\operatorname{lnd}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cy}(7 \mathbf{a}), \mathrm{Ph}(7 \mathbf{b}))$ and the $\mathrm{Pd}^{\prime \prime}$ compounds $\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cy}(\mathbf{8 a})$, $\mathrm{Ph}(\mathbf{8 b}))$, along with 1, $1^{\prime}$-biindene. The formation of 7 is proposed to proceed by a comproportionation reaction between in situ-generated $\mathrm{Pd}^{11}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ intermediates. Interestingly, the reverse of this reaction, disproportionation, also occurs spontaneously to give 2. All new compounds have been characterized by NMR spectroscopy and, in the case of 3, 4, 5, 6a, $\mathbf{7 a}, \mathbf{7 b}$, and 8a, by X-ray crystallography.


## Introduction

Studies on the structures and reactivities of Ind complexes (Ind $=$ indenyl and its substituted or functionalized derivatives) have shown that the bonding mode adopted by the Ind ligand and its net electronic contribution to the metal center in a given complex depend strongly on the electronic configuration of the metal ( $\mathrm{d}^{n}$ ) and its formal electron count. Thus, the formally electron-deficient complexes of early metals ( $\mathrm{d}^{0-5}$, up to 18 valence electrons) generally favor greater hapticities ( $\eta^{5}$-Ind), ${ }^{1}$ whereas the more electron-rich late metal centers ( $\mathrm{d}^{6-8}, 16-18$ valence electrons) favor lower hapticities and display various degrees of "slip-fold" distortions ( $\eta^{1-5}$-Ind). This flexible and responsive nature of M -Ind bonding, the so-called "indenyl effect", is believed to facilitate a number of interesting stoichiometric and catalytic reactions. ${ }^{2}$

Most of the studies carried out to date on Ind complexes have focused on compounds of groups 4-9 transition metals, such

[^0]that the chemistry of group 10 metal-indenyl complexes is much less explored. Nevertheless, a number of studies carried out over the past decade on $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}-\operatorname{Ind}$ complexes $\operatorname{IndNi}(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{X}$ and $\left[\operatorname{IndNi}(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]^{+}$have shown that the Ind ligand is more slip-folded in these complexes in comparison to the complexes Ind- $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{I}}$ (L)L' of group 9 metals, despite the similar (formal) electron counts of the metal centers in these complexes ( $\mathrm{d}^{8}, 18$ electrons). ${ }^{3}$ Some of these Ni -Ind complexes are also competent precatalysts for the oligo- and polymerization of alkenes, ${ }^{4}$ alkynes, ${ }^{5}$ and $\mathrm{PhSiH}_{3},{ }^{6}$ and for the hydrosilylation of olefins. ${ }^{4 f, k, 7}$
The interesting structural characteristics and catalytic reactivities promoted by Ni -Ind compounds and the relatively
(3) For a recent review on the chemistry of group 10 metal-indenyl complexes, see: Zargarian, D. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2002, 233-234, 157.
(4) (a) Vollmerhaus, R.; Bélanger-Gariépy, F.; Zargarian, D. Organometallics 1997, 16, 4762. (b) Dubois, M.-A.; Wang, R.; Zargarian, D.; Tian, J.; Vollmerhaus, R.; Li, Z.; Collins, S. Organometallics 2001, 20, 663. (c) Groux, L. F.; Zargarian, D. Organometallics 2001, 20, 3811. (d) Groux, L. F.; Zargarian, D.; Simon, L. C.; Soares, J. B. P. J. Mol. Catal. A 2003, 193 (1-2), 51. (e) Groux, L. F.; Zargarian D. Organometallics 2003, 22, 3124. (f) Groux, L. F.; Zargarian, D. Organometallics 2003, 22, 4759. (g) Sun, H.; Li, W.; Han, X.; Shen, Q.; Zhang, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 688, 132. (h) Li, W.-F.; Sun, H.-M.; Shen, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Yu, K.-B. Polyhedron 2004, 23, 1473. (i) Jimenez-Tenorio, M.; Puerta, M. C.; Salcedo, I.; Valerga, P.; Costa, S. I.; Silva, L. C.; Gomes, P. T. Organometallics 2004, 23, 3139. (j) Sun, H. M.; Shao, Q.; Hu, D. M.; Li, W. F.; Shen, Q.; Zhang, Y. Organometallics 2005, 24, 331. (k) Gareau, D.; Sui-Seng, C.; Groux, L. F.; Brisse, F.; Zargarian, D. Organometallics 2005, 24, 4003.
(5) (a) Wang, R.; Bélanger-Gariépy, F.; Zargarian, D. Organometallics 1999, 18, 5548. (b) Wang, R.; Groux, L. F.; Zargarian D. Organometallics 2002, 21, 5531. (c) Wang, R.; Groux, L. F.; Zargarian, D. J. Organomet. Chem 2002, 660, 98. (d) Rivera, E.; Wang, R.; Zhu, X. X.; Zargarian, D.; Giasson, R. J. Mol. Catal. A 2003, 204-205, 325.
(6) (a) Fontaine, F.-G.; Kadkhodazadeh, T.; Zargarian, D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1998, 1253. (b) Fontaine, F.-G.; Zargarian, D. Organometallics 2002, 21, 401.
(7) Fontaine, F.-G.; Nguyen, R.-V.; Zargarian, D. Can. J. Chem. 2003, 81, 1299.

## Scheme 1


unexplored chemistry of their Pd analogues ${ }^{8}$ have motivated us to initiate a systematic study of Pd -Ind compounds in order to elucidate the influence of the metal center on structures and reactivities. Our initial studies showed significantly lower Ind hapticities (more "slippage") in the compounds ( $\eta^{3-5}$-Ind)Pd$\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Cy}, \mathrm{Me}$, and OMe$)$; moreover, replacing the $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ in these compounds by $t$-BuNC gave $\left[\left(\eta^{1}\right.\right.$-Ind $\left.)(t-\mathrm{BuNC})\right)-$ $\operatorname{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})]_{2} .{ }^{9}$ Subsequently, we undertook to synthesize a new series of complexes bearing Lewis bases other than phosphines and isocyanides to allow a comparison of structural and reactivity features as a function of both metal and the Lewis base ligand.

The present contribution reports on the syntheses of the Ind$\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\right.$ amine) complexes $\left.\left(\eta^{3-5}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right)$, ( $\eta^{1}$ - Ind$) \mathrm{Pd}-$ $\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{Bn}=\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)$, and $\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{py})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{py}=$ pyridine). The subsequent reaction of these complexes with phosphines has given access to new $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{I}}$ complexes bearing a bridging indenyl ligand, i.e., $\left(\mu, \eta^{3}-\operatorname{Ind}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{R}=$ $\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Cy})$. Several dinuclear $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{I}}$ complexes featuring $\mu$-allyl or $\mu$-cyclopentadienyl ligands are known, ${ }^{10}$ whereas [ $\left(\mu, \eta^{3}\right.$-Ind)$\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{CNR})]_{2}$ represent the only $\mu$-Ind complexes reported previously. ${ }^{8 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}}$

## Results and Discussion

Reaction of the Dimer $\left[\left(\eta^{3}-\operatorname{Ind}\right) \mathbf{P d}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\right]_{2}(1)$ with Amines. The synthetic route developed for the preparation of phosphine

[^1]and isocyanide complexes $(\eta$ - $\operatorname{Ind}) \operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{2})$ and $\left[\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{Ind}\right)-\right.$ $(t-\mathrm{BuNC})) \operatorname{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})]_{2}(\text { Scheme } 1)^{9 \mathrm{a}}$ was used to prepare the target amino complexes. Thus, stirring a suspension of $\mathbf{1}^{11}$ in benzene with $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ gave the complex $(\eta$ - Ind$) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{3})$, whereas the analogous reactions with $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ and py gave the $\eta^{1}$-Ind complexes $\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{4})$ and $\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{py})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{5})$, respectively (Scheme 1). The new complexes were isolated in $70-75 \%$ yields as brown (3) or yellow-brown (4 and 5) solids; the latter compounds were also prepared in ca. $85 \%$ yield via the reaction of $\mathbf{3}$ with 1 or 2 equiv of $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ or py (Scheme 1). All three complexes are thermally stable and can be handled in air, both in the solid state and in solution, without appreciable decomposition. Their identities were deduced from their NMR spectra and confirmed by the results of elemental analyses and single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies, as described below.

Characterization of $\mathbf{3 - 5}$ by NMR. The ambient-temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $(\eta$ - $\operatorname{Ind}) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{3})$ displayed relatively broad signals for all Ind protons, but a better resolution was obtained at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The assignment of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra was facilitated by selective homodecoupling, inverse-gated decoupling, COSY, and HMQC experiments. The selective 1D NOESY experiment correlated the methylene groups of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ with H 3 and H 4 at 4.61 and 6.45 ppm , respectively (see Figure 2 for the numbering scheme). Moreover, the high resolution of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ allowed us to observe the detailed multiplicities of these signals and to determine the various $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ coupling constants, as follows: ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 2} \approx{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{H} 3} \approx{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 3} \approx 2.4 \mathrm{~Hz} ;{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 7} \approx{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{H} 4} \approx$ $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz} ;{ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{H} 5} \approx{ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 6} \approx 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

Overall, the chemical shifts and the multiplicities of the signals for the Ind protons in $\mathbf{3}$ follow a pattern commonly observed for the previously studied $(\eta-\operatorname{Ind}) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ analogues. ${ }^{9}$ For instance, the signals for H1 ( 5.59 ppm ) and H3 $(4.61 \mathrm{ppm})$ are both strongly shielded in comparison to the other Ind signals (6.31-6.93 ppm). On the other hand, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts of C 1 and C 3 (ca. 73 vs 82 ppm ) indicate a somewhat higher shielding for C 1 , which is the opposite of our
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Figure 1. (a) $400 \mathrm{MHz}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of complex 4 in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$, showing the four multiplets of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ protons (*, impurities). (b) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of complex 4 in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
previous observations for $\mathrm{Ni}-$ and Pd - Ind complexes bearing phosphines. For example, the chemical shifts of these nuclei in $(\eta-\mathrm{Ind}) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ are in the range of $94-113 \mathrm{ppm}$ for C 1 and $70-80 \mathrm{ppm}$ for C 3 , indicating that the hybridization is more $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-like at C 1 and more $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-like at C 3 ; we have argued that these observations are consistent with the larger trans influence of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ versus Cl . ${ }^{9 \mathrm{a}}$ Accordingly, we attribute the observation of a more shielded C 1 in $\mathbf{3}$ to a more $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-like hybridization at C 1 arising from the weaker trans influence of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ versus Cl . The latter phenomenon is reflected in the solid-state structure of this complex, which shows that $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 1<\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 3$ (vide infra), but the fairly downfield chemical shift of H1 (ca. 5.6 ppm ) is not consistent with $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C} 1$.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of complexes $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ showed only one set of signals, indicating that only one isomer, cis or trans, was obtained in each case; we have assumed that these complexes adopt the thermodynamically more stable trans geometry, as in bis(amine)dihalogenopalladium(II) complexes. ${ }^{12}$ The NMR data for the indenyl fragment were very similar to those found in previously reported transition metal- $\eta^{1}$-indenyl complexes. ${ }^{8 e, 9 a, 13}$ In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, for instance, the chemical shift of C 1 is significantly upfield of the corresponding signals in $\eta$-Ind complexes $2(40 \mathrm{vs} 94-113 \mathrm{ppm})$. The signals for the diastereotopic $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ protons in complex 4 appear as four triplets of doublets centered at $3.84,3.34,2.37$, and 1.61 ppm (Figure 1a). The H,H-COSY spectrum indicates that these signals form an ABCD spin system. The vicinal and geminal coupling constants were estimated at ca. 3 and 12 Hz , respectively. Unambiguous assignment of the amine protons was achieved by adding a few drops of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to the NMR sample, which caused the two multiplets observed for $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ at 2.37 and 1.61 ppm to disappear (Figure 1b). The NH/ND exchange also reduced the two $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ signals to an AB doublet, and this allowed us to determine the geminal coupling constant for these protons $\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{AB}} \approx 12 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$.

Solid-State Structures of 3-5. The X-ray analyses of 3-5 have confirmed the spectral assignments and provided valuable structural information for these first Ind-Pd complexes bearing amine ligands. The crystal data and selected structural parameters are presented in Tables 1 and 2, and the ORTEP diagrams

[^3]are shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. The overall geometry is approximately square planar in complex $\mathbf{3}$, with the largest distortion arising from the small $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 3$ angle of $62^{\circ}$. The $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ distance of $2.225(2) \AA$ is in the range of $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths reported to date for $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ complexes. ${ }^{14}$ The fairly long $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 7$ a distances indicate that the $\mathrm{Ind}-\mathrm{Pd}$ interaction is primarily through the allylic carbons $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 2$, and C 3 . The significant difference in the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths is a reflection of the so-called "slippage" of the Ind ligand, which can be measured by calculating parameters such as the slip value $(\Delta \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C})$ and the hinge and fold angles (HA and FA). ${ }^{15}$ The calculated values of these parameters in 3, $0.39 \AA$ and ca. 16 and $12^{\circ}$, respectively, are similar to the corresponding values in the phosphine analogues $\left(\eta^{3-5}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ (2) but significantly smaller than those of $\left[\left(\eta^{3}-\operatorname{Ind}\right) \operatorname{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\right]_{2}(\mathbf{1})(\Delta \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}$ $\left.=0.46 \AA, \mathrm{HA}=17^{\circ}, \mathrm{FA}=16^{\circ}\right) .{ }^{9 \mathrm{a}}$ On the other hand, the significant asymmetry in the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ (allyl) bond lengths ( $\mathrm{Pd}-$ $\mathrm{C} 1<\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 3)$ is the opposite of the trend generally observed in phosphine complexes $(\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 1>\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 3)$, thus confirming the trans influence order deduced from the NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right.$ $>\mathrm{Cl}>\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ).

X-ray crystal structure analyses of complexes $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ have confirmed the trans square planar geometry around Pd (Figure 3). The structural parameters for these complexes are similar to those found in the only other structurally characterized ( $\eta^{1}$ Ind) Pd complex, ${ }^{8 a}$ as well as those of other $\eta^{1}$-Ind compounds reported previously. ${ }^{16,17}$ For example, the sp ${ }^{3}$-hybridized character of the Pd-bound carbon atom is reflected in the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{a}$ (ca. $1.50 \AA$ ) and $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2(\mathrm{ca} .1 .47 \AA$ ) distances that are in the normal range for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ single bonds, whereas the $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$
(13) (a) Casey, C. P.; O’Connor J. M Organometallics 1985, 4, 384. (b)
Hermmann, W. A.; Kuhn, F. E.; Romao, C. C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, Hermmann, W. A.; Kuhn, F. E.; Romao, C. C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 489, C56. (c) O'Hare, D. Organometallics 1987, 6, 1766.
(14) To our knowledge, only two examples of $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ complexes were characterized by single-crystal X-ray crystallography: $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (Timokhin, V. I.; Anastasi, N. R.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, $125,12996)$ and $\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{dmpe}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Me})\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BPh}_{4}\right]$ (Seligson, A. L.; Trogler, W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 2520).
(15) $\Delta \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}=0.5\{(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{a})-0.5\{(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C} 1+\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C} 3)$. HA is the angle between the planes encompassing the atoms $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3$ and C 1 , $\mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{a}$. FA is the angle between the planes encompassing the atoms C1, C2, C3 and C3a, C4, C5, C6, C7, C7a. The $\triangle \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{HA}$, and FA values for a range of Ind complexes are given in the following reports: (a) Baker, T.; Tulip, T. H. Organometallics 1986, 5, 839. (b)Westcott, S. A.; Kakkar, A. K.; Stringer, G.; Taylor, N. J.; Marder, T. B. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 394, 777. The corresponding data for group 10 complexes are given in ref 3 .

Table 1. Crystal Data, Data Collection, and Structure Refinement Parameters of 3-5 and 6a

|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{Pd}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{Pd}_{1} .0 .5 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{Pd}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{Pd}_{1}$ |
| mol wt | 358.19 | 510.35 | 415.20 | 644.56 |
| cryst color, habit | brown-orange, block | yellow, plate | orange, block | orange, block |
| cryst dimens, mm | $0.08 \times 0.23 \times 0.53$ | $0.06 \times 0.15 \times 0.15$ | $0.19 \times 0.28 \times 0.38$ | $0.11 \times 0.15 \times 0.30$ |
| system | monoclinic | triclinic | orthorombic | triclinic |
| space group | $P 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | $P \overline{1}$ | Pbca | $P \overline{1}$ |
| $a, \AA$ | 8.7756(1) | 8.1918(13) | 13.2654(2) | 10.3658(1) |
| $b$, A | 13.9797(2) | 10.3940(16) | 13.3187(2) | 12.3444(1) |
| $c, \AA$ | 12.4209(1) | 14.768(16) | 19.3102(4) | 14.4307(2) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 90 | 83.970(3) | 90 | 91.696(1) |
| $\beta$, deg | 95.397(1) | 76.233(3) | 90 | 109.563(1) |
| $\gamma$, deg | 90 | 69.495(3) | 90 | 100.612(1) |
| volume, $\AA^{3}$ | 1517.04(3) | 1143.6(3) | 3411.69(10) | 1701.87(3) |
| Z | 4 | 2 | 8 | 2 |
| $D$ (calcd), $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 1.568 | 1.482 | 1.617 | 1.258 |
| diffractometer | Bruker AXS, SMART 2K | Bruker AXS, SMART 1K | Bruker AXS, SMART 2K | Bruker AXS, SMART 2K |
| temp, K | 100 | 125 | 100 | 100 |
| $\lambda, ~ \AA$ | 1.54178 | 0.71073 | 1.54178 | 1.54178 |
| $\mu, \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 11.331 | 0.943 | 10.201 | 5.709 |
| scan type | $\omega$ scan | $\omega$ scan | $\omega$ scan | $\omega$ scan |
| $F(000)$ | 728 | 522 | 1664 | 676 |
| $\theta_{\text {max }}$, deg | 72.91 | 29.22 | 72.92 | 72.85 |
| $h, k, l$ range | $-10 \leq h \leq 10$ | $-11 \leq h \leq 11$ | $-16 \leq h \leq 15$ | $-12 \leq h \leq 12$ |
|  | $-17 \leq k \leq 17$ | $-14 \leq k \leq 14$ | $-15 \leq k \leq 16$ | $-14 \leq k \leq 15$ |
|  | $-15 \leq l \leq 14$ | $-20 \leq l \leq 20$ | $-23 \leq l \leq 23$ | $-17 \leq l \leq 17$ |
| no. of reflns collected/unique | 18428/3002 | 14017/6119 | 26119/3389 | 20599/6482 |
| absorption | multiscan | multiscan | multiscan | multiscan |
| correction | SADABS | SADABS | SADABS | SADABS |
| $T$ (min, max) | 0.16, 0.56 | 0.86, 0.94 | 0.09, 0.31 | 0.43, 0.64 |
| $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right], R_{\mathrm{w}}\left(F^{2}\right)$ | 0.0280, 0.0735 | 0.0370, 0.0951 | 0.0470, 0.1275 | 0.0478, 0.1459 |
| GOF | 1.043 | 1.053 | 0.967 | 1.139 |

Table 2. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for 3-5 and 6a

|  | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ (\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{C} 3 \end{array}\right)$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ (\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{N} 3 \end{array}\right)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{N} 2) \end{gathered}$ |  | 6a $(X=N, Y=P)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd-X | 2.1674(18) | 2.066(3) | 2.061(3) | 2.031(4) |  | 2.129(3) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $2.3598(5)$ | 2.3996 (8) |  | 2.479(4) | 2.278(4) | 2.3812(9) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Y}$ | 2.225(2) | 2.066(2) |  | 2.036(4) |  | 2.2752(9) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 2.151(2) | 2.086(3) |  | 2.054(10) | 2.145(12) | 2.110(4) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 2.171(2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a}$ | 2.608(2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{a}$ | 2.548(2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| C1-C2 | 1.403(4) | 1.473(4) |  | 1.465(12) | 1.481(14) | 1.490 (5) |
| C2-C3 | 1.406 (3) | 1.352(5) |  | $1.35(2)$ | 1.35(3) | 1.359(6) |
| C3-C3a | 1.474 (3) | 1.457(4) |  | 1.45 (2) | 1.37(5) | $1.456(6)$ |
| C3a-C7a | 1.422(3) | 1.414(4) |  | 1.423(10) | 1.43(3) | $1.426(5)$ |
| C7a-C1 | 1.480 (3) | 1.482(4) |  | 1.493(14) | 1.494(14) | 1.472(5) |
| C3a-C4 | 1.383(4) | 1.394(4) |  | 1.341(13) | 1.360(14) | 1.391(5) |
| C4-C5 | 1.396 (3) | $1.386(5)$ |  | 1.465(12) | 1.461(15) | $1.387(6)$ |
| C5-C6 | 1.386(4) | $1.396(5)$ |  | 1.372(13) | 1.362(16) | 1.408(7) |
| C6-C7 | 1.399(4) | 1.390 (5) |  | 1.40(2) | 1.41(2) | 1.395(6) |
| C7-C7a | 1.385(3) | 1.390(4) |  | 1.39 (2) | 1.41(2) | 1.395 (5) |
| $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 93.19(5) | 92.39(11) | 81.32(18) | 91.23(14) | 86.67(14) | 82.84(9) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{X}$ | 166.93(8) | 87.11(14) | 98.2(2) | 91.9(3) | 91.0(3) | 89.84(14) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Y}$ | 62.32(9) | 91.6(1) |  | 89.2(3) | 88.9(3) | 95.15(11) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Y}$ | 159.79(7) | 88.91(7) |  | 87.90(15) | 93.27(16) | 92.22(3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ | 106.42(8) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 97.61(7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{A})^{a}$ | 0.39 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HA (deg) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 16.46 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FA (deg) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 12.71 |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a} \Delta \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}=0.5(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{a})-0.5(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C} 1+\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C} 3) .{ }^{b}$ Angle formed between the planes formed by the atoms $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{and} \mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a}$, $\mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{a} .{ }^{c}$ Angle formed between the planes formed by the atoms $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3$ and $\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a} 4, \mathrm{C} 5, \mathrm{C} 6, \mathrm{C} 7, \mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{a}$.
distance (ca. $1.35 \AA$ ) is in the expected range for a $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)$ $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)$ double bond. The $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths (ca. $2.06 \AA$ for 4 and $2.03 \AA$ for 5 ) lie well within the range of distances reported previously for analogous compounds. ${ }^{18}$ The coordinated pyridine rings in complex 5 form a dihedral angle of ca. $15^{\circ}$ and are
oriented nearly orthogonal to the Pd square plane, which serves, presumably, to maximize $\mathrm{Pd} \rightarrow$ py back-bonding and minimize steric interactions.

New Monometallic ( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{1}$-Ind) $\mathbf{P d}^{\text {II }}$ and Bimetallic ( $\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\eta}^{3}$-Ind)$\mathbf{P d}^{\mathbf{I}}{ }_{2}$ Complexes. That complexes featuring $\eta^{3-5}$ - or $\eta^{1}$-Ind


Figure 2. ORTEP views of complex 3. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at $30 \%$ probability, and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.


Figure 3. ORTEP views of complexes 4 and 5. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at $30 \%$ probability, and hydrogen atoms and the solvent molecule are omitted for clarity. The $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ in $\mathbf{4}$ and the Ind and Cl ligands in $\mathbf{5}$ are each disordered over two positions; the views shown in this figure represent the major model.
ligands can be prepared from the reaction of the common precursor $\mathbf{1}$ with various ligands $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, t\right.$ - $\mathrm{BuNC}, \mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$, py; Scheme 1) shows unambiguously that the nature of the incoming ligand L has a direct bearing on Ind hapticity in the product. To determine how the Ind hapticity would change with a mixture of ligands, we added 2 equiv of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ to a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$

[^4]solution of $\mathbf{4}$, generated in situ by addition of 2 equiv of $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ to $\mathbf{1}$. The reaction with $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ gave an orange-brown solution from which we isolated an orange powder that was identified as the mixed-ligand species $\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(6 \mathbf{a}$, Scheme 2, method A, 85\% yield). The analogous reaction with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ gave $\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{6 b})$, which was characterized by NMR but could not be isolated and purified because it decomposed to two new products that will be discussed later (Scheme 2). Complexes $6 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ were also obtained by adding 1 equiv of $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ to $(\eta$ - Ind$) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ (2a) or its $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ analogue 2b, respectively (Scheme 2, method B, ca. 78\% yield for $\mathbf{6 a}$ ).
The new $\eta^{1}$-Ind complexes $\mathbf{6}$ can be considered to be suitable models for the postulated intermediate in the ligand displacement reaction $(\eta$-Ind $) \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{L}^{\prime} \rightarrow(\eta$-Ind $) \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{L}$, proceeding by an associative mechanism. It is interesting to note that associative ligand substitutions of the closely related complexes ( $\eta$-Ind) $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{L})_{2}$ of group 9 metals are believed to proceed via $\eta^{3}$ Ind intermediates (e.g., $\left.\left(\eta^{3}-\operatorname{Ind}\right) \operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{19}$ One possible explanation for the apparently different behaviors of these $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ metal centers is that, in each case, the intermediate species maintains the electronic configuration of its precursor complex; thus, the $\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Ir}^{\mathrm{I}}$ species both maintain the (nearly) 16- and 18 -electron configurations of their respective predecessors.

As mentioned above, after a few hours, complex $\mathbf{6 b}$ decomposes fairly rapidly to give two new products. Complex $\mathbf{6 a}$ was found to have greater thermal stability, but it too decomposed gradually (over 24 h ) to give a solid residue which gave a mixture of crystals upon recrystallization. Visual inspection of this mixture under a microscope showed two different crystals, orange microcrystals and larger yellow-orange crystals, which were separated mechanically and identified by X-ray diffraction analyses as $\left(\mu, \eta^{3}-\mathrm{Ind}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2} \quad(7 \mathbf{a})$ and $\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathbf{8 a})$, respectively. The products arising from the decomposition of $\mathbf{6 b}$ were found to be $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ analogues of 7a and $\mathbf{8 a}$. In this case, recrystallization of the solid residues from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane did furnish pure samples of $\left(\mu, \eta^{3}-\mathrm{Ind}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})-$ $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(7 \mathbf{b})$ in ca. $50 \%$ yield, but samples of the second product, $\mathbf{8 b}$, were always contaminated with $\mathbf{7 b}$ and could not be obtained in pure form. We have probed the various reaction pathways that convert $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$, or $\mathbf{6}$ into complexes $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$, and have examined briefly the stabilities and reactivities of the latter; these studies are discussed next. The characterization of the new complexes $6-\mathbf{8}$ will be discussed in the following section.
The analogous reactions of $\mathbf{5}$ with phosphines or the reaction of $\mathbf{2}$ with py gave complex mixtures, which were analyzed by NMR and shown to contain the following species: $\mathbf{2 a},\left(\eta^{1-}\right.$ Ind) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{py}) \mathrm{Cl},\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, 7 \mathbf{a}$, and biindene in the $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ reactions; $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, 7 \mathbf{b}$, and biindene in the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ reactions. Isolation of the products from these mixture was not pursued.
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## Scheme 2





The bimetallic ( $\mu, \eta^{3}-\operatorname{Ind}$ ) $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{I}}{ }_{2}$ compounds 7 are reminiscent of the analogous Cp complex $\left(\mu, \eta^{3}-\mathrm{Cp}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\right)_{2}$, which are prepared by reacting $\mathrm{CpPd}\left(\mathrm{P}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ with the reducing agent Mg , the hydrides $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, or the alkylating agent $n$-BuMgBr. ${ }^{20}$ By analogy to the latter route, we reacted 2a and 2b with 0.5 equiv of BuLi in NMR-scale reactions to test whether bimetallic 7 could be obtained in this way. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of these reactions contained the expected signals for 7, in addition to signals attributed to 1-butene and free indene (Scheme 2, method C). Monitoring the reaction by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy showed the appearance, during the initial stages of the reaction, of new signals at ca. $\delta 49\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right.$ species $)$ and $41 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right.$ species), followed by a gradual disappearance of these signals and the emergence of the corresponding signals for 7. We propose that these species are $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{Bu}$ intermediates whose decomposition by $\beta$-H elimination gives 1 -butene and $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{H}$ species. The latter are not detected due to a rapid reductive elimination that generates free indene and $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{n}$ species. The formation of 7 likely proceeds by a comproportionation reaction between the in situ-generated $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ species and the $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ precursors 2 (Scheme 3). It is noteworthy that direct comproportionation reactions between organopalladium(II) and $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ species have been used to prepare many different $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{I}}$ species, including dipalladium(I) compounds featuring ( $\mu, \eta^{3}$ Cp ) and ( $\mu, \eta^{3}$-allyl) ligands. ${ }^{10}$ Accordingly, we found that a direct reaction between $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2 b}$ gives $\mathbf{7 b}$ (Scheme 2, method D), thus confirming that a comproportionation reaction can lead to analogous ( $\mu, \eta^{3}$-Ind) compounds.

The above observations allow us to rationalize the unanticipated formation of $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ from $\mathbf{6}$ via the sequence of steps shown in Scheme 4. First, 6 undergoes a ligand redistribution process to give the bis(chloro) compounds $\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$
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## Scheme 3


(8) and the $\operatorname{bis}\left(\eta^{1}\right.$-Ind) complexes $\left(\eta^{1}-\operatorname{Ind}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right)$ (step a). The latter decompose via reductive elimination to give 1,1'-biindene (Ind-Ind) and $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{n}\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right)_{m}$ (step b). A comproportionation reaction between the latter and $\mathbf{6}$ generates the $\left(\mu, \eta^{3}-\operatorname{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{I}}{ }_{2}$ species 7 (step c). The side product Ind-Ind has been detected in the reaction mixtures by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the solutions of 6 (over 24 h ), and GC/MS analyses have confirmed its presence $\left(\mathrm{M}^{++}\right.$at $\left.m / z 230\right)$. Moreover, some of this side product gets dehydrogenated to trans-1,1'-bis(indenylidene) (Ind=Ind), ${ }^{21}$ which cocrystallized with 7a (vide infra). We speculate that this dehydrogenation proceeds by addition of the benzylic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of Ind-Ind to the in situ-generated $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ species to give $\left(\eta^{1}\right.$-(1-Ind-Ind) $) \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{H})\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right)$ (step d). $\beta$-H elimination of the latter generates Ind=Ind and a bis(hydrido) species (step e) that regenerates the original $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ species by eliminating $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (step f, Scheme 4).

Solid samples of the $\mu, \eta^{3}$-Ind compounds 7 can be handled in air indefinitely, but in solution they undergo a gradual and irreversible transformation (over several weeks) into the cor-
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responding monomeric $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}-$ phosphine species $(\eta-\operatorname{Ind}) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ Cl (2). This observation seemed to indicate that complexes 7 behave as if they are simple combinations of the $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ compounds 2 and the $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ fragment, rather than "real" dipalladium(I) species. Indeed, our preliminary results indicate that complexes 7 demonstrate reactivities virtually identical to those of $\mathbf{2}$. For instance, reacting 7b with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ results in the formation of some black Pd residues and the monomeric complex [( $\eta$-Ind)$\left.\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ in $46 \%$ isolated yield; the latter compound is also obtained from the reaction of $(\eta-\mathrm{Ind}) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{2 b})$ with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ (Scheme 2). ${ }^{9 \mathrm{~b}}$ Similarly, reacting MeMgCl with either $\mathbf{7 b}$ or $\mathbf{2 b}$ gives the same product, namely $(\eta$-Ind $) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Me} .{ }^{9 b}$ It appears, therefore, that the comproportionation reaction that generates complexes 7 can proceed in the reverse sense (disproportionation) to give complexes 2 and $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{n}$.

Characterization of Complexes 6-8. Complexes 6a, 7a, 7b, and $8 \mathbf{a}$ were characterized by NMR spectroscopy, elemental analyses (except for 8a), and single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies, whereas complexes $\mathbf{6 b}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ were identified by comparing their NMR spectra to those of their fully characterized $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ analogues.

The ambient-temperature NMR spectra of $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ gave indications of a slow exchange process. For example, the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra showed a broad singlet at 41.5 and 37.1 ppm, respectively; similarly, some of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals were broadened and some were missing. Cooling the NMR sample to $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in the sharpening of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ signals and the emergence in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of new signals due to the $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}, \mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$, and $\eta^{1}$-Ind ligands (e.g., $\delta_{\mathrm{H} 1}=4.94 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathbf{6 a}\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and 4.47 ppm for $\mathbf{6 b}\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C} 1}=38.9 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathbf{6 a}$ and 46.6 ppm for $\mathbf{6 b}$ ).

X-ray diffraction studies helped establish the identity of $\mathbf{6 a}$ unambiguously. The ORTEP diagram for $\mathbf{6 a}$ is shown in Figure 4 , while Tables 1 and 2 list the crystallographic data and selected bond distances and angles. The essentially square planar geometry adopted by the Pd center in 6a shows a slight tetrahedral distortion (e.g., $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl} \approx 172^{\circ}$ ), which serves, presumably, to minimize steric interactions between the Ind and $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ ligands. The $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ distance is much longer than the corresponding distance in $\mathbf{4}$, presumably because of the much greater trans influence of $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ versus $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$. All the other distances and angles are comparable to those found in the $\eta^{1}$ Ind complexes 4 and 5. Similarly, in the X-ray molecular structure of complex $\mathbf{8 a}$ (Table 1, Figure 5), the Pd center adopts
a distorted square planar geometry (e.g., $\mathrm{Cl} 1-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl} 2 \approx 176^{\circ}$ ) and the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ distances are very close to those observed for complex $\mathbf{6 a}$.

The decomposition of $\mathbf{6}$ to new compounds was indicated by the appearance in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of new signals at $\delta 38.5(7 \mathbf{a}), 25.7(7 \mathbf{b}), 44.1(\mathbf{8 a})$, and $28.6(\mathbf{8 b})$. The spectral patterns observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of the


Figure 4. ORTEP view of complex 6a. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at $30 \%$ probability, and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.


Figure 5. ORTEP view of complex 8a. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at $30 \%$ probability, and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $(\mathrm{deg}): ~ \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl} 1=2.2951(5), \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl} 2=2.3068(5)$, $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}=2.126(2), \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}=2.2665(5), \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 1=1.489(3), \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl} 1=$ 91.08(2), $\mathrm{Cl} 1-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}=86.97(5), \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl} 2=88.85(5), \mathrm{Cl} 2-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}=$ 93.09(2).


Figure 6. ORTEP views of complexes 7a and 7b. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at $30 \%$ probability, and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.
$\mu, \eta^{3}$-Ind moiety in 7a and 7b were very different from those of ( $\eta$ - Ind$) \operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ complexes, but quite similar to the corresponding signals of the previously studied complexes $\left[\left(\mu, \eta^{3}-\right.\right.$ Ind) $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{CNR})]_{2}{ }^{8 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}}$ For instance, a set of signals corresponding to an $\mathrm{AX}_{2}$ system was observed for H 2 ( 4.22 ppm , quintuplet, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}={ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and $\mathrm{H} 1 / \mathrm{H} 3$ (triplets of doublets at $\delta$ $5.81 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathbf{7 a})$ and $5.12 \mathrm{ppm}(7 \mathbf{b}),{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=$ 3.6 Hz ), ${ }^{22}$ while $\mathrm{H} 4-\mathrm{H} 7$ displayed an $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}$ system $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H} 4,7}=\right.$ 7.04 ppm and $\delta_{\mathrm{H} 5,6}=7.35 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $7 \mathbf{a} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H} 4-7}=6.52 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{H} 5-6}=5.98 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $7 \mathbf{b}$ ). This segment of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{7 b}$ is shown in Figure 7. It should be noted that the chemical shifts for H 4 and H 7 in complex 7b are much more upfield than those of H5 and H6, while the opposite trend is observed in 7a. Inspection of the solid structure of 7b has shown that H4 and H7 are fairly close to the center of one of the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ phenyl rings (vide infra), which indicates that the upfield shifts are likely caused by the anisotropy cone of the Ph rings. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra showed only five resonances, assigned to the four symmetry-related pairs of carbons C1/C3, C5/C6, C4/C7, C3a/C7a and C2; the assignments were facilitated by the HMQC spectra.

The X-ray molecular structures obtained for 7a and 7b are consistent with the NMR spectra observed in solution. The ORTEP diagrams for these compounds are shown in Figure 6, and the crystallographic data and selected bond distances and angles are listed in Tables 3 and 4. The coordination geometry around the Pd atoms in both complexes is square planar, displaying angular distortions of $5-30^{\circ}$. The structures consist of two $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{I}}$ centers coordinated by a terminal phosphine ligand $(\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P} \approx 2.26-2.29 \AA)$ and bridged by a $\mu, \eta^{3}$-Ind and a $\mu-\mathrm{Cl}$.

[^8]The diamagnetism of these $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ complexes implies a $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Pd}$ bond, which is reflected in the relatively short bond distance of ca. $2.60 \AA$; this is similar to analogous distances of $2.61-2.72 \AA$ found in $(\mu$-allyl $)(\mu-\mathrm{X}) \operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl},{ }^{23} \mathrm{I},{ }^{24} \mathrm{Cp},{ }^{25}\right.$ allyl $\left.{ }^{26}\right)$ and lies within the expected range of $2.53-2.70 \AA$ for $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{I}}$ bond distances found in a large number of compounds. ${ }^{27}$ As was alluded to above, H 4 and H 7 are fairly close to one of the Ph rings of the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ligand in $\mathbf{7 b},{ }^{28}$ which helps explain the unusually upfield signals of these protons in the ${ }^{1}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{7 b}$ (vide supra).

The most notable feature of these complexes is the unusual bonding mode of the indenyl moiety: although there are many precedents for complexes featuring $\mu$-allyl or $\mu$-Cp ligands on a $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{I}}$ framework, ${ }^{10}$ the only precedents for the analogous $\mu, \eta^{3}-$ Ind complexes are the compounds $\left[\left(\mu, \eta^{3}-\operatorname{Ind}\right) \operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{CNR})\right]_{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{R}=t-\mathrm{Bu} ; 2,6-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} ; 2,4,6-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} ; 2,4,6-(t-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ ). ${ }^{8 c, d}$ The $\mu, \eta^{3}$-Ind ligands in $7 \mathbf{a}$ and $7 \mathbf{b}$ are symmetrically bonded to the two $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{I}}$ centers and show $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ distances identical to those observed in the $\left[\left(\mu, \eta^{3}-\operatorname{Ind}\right) \operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{CNR})\right]_{2}$ analogues $(\mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{C} 1 \approx \mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{C} 3 \approx 2.1 \AA$ and $\mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{C} 2 \approx \mathrm{Pd} 2-$ $\mathrm{C} 2 \approx 2.4 \AA$ ). The relatively long $\mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a}$ distances of ca. $2.9 \AA$ are out of the normal bonding range and support the trihapto designation. Indeed, the $\Delta M-C$ values observed for $7 \mathbf{a}$ and $7 \mathbf{b}$ ( 0.83 and $0.87 \AA$, respectively; Table 4) are in the same range as those found previously in $\eta^{3}$-Ind complexes ${ }^{29}$ and much larger than those of the previously described monomeric ( $\left.\eta^{3-5}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\text {II }}$ complexes 2. On the other hand, the hinge and fold angles in 7 are fairly small, representing only small planar distortions for the Ind ligands ( $7 \mathbf{a}, \mathrm{HA} \approx 6^{\circ}$, $\mathrm{FA} \approx 12^{\circ} ; 7 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{HA} \approx 2^{\circ}, \mathrm{FA} \approx 5^{\circ}$ ).

Another interesting feature of the crystal structure in complex 7a is the presence of a cocrystallized half-molecule of trans-$1,1^{\prime}$-bis(indenylidene) (Figure 6). The two Ind moieties in this molecule are linked by a double bond $\left(\mathrm{C} 81=\mathrm{C} 81^{1}\right)^{30}$ involving a trans configuration imposed by the inversion center. The distances and angles are comparable to those obtained for the recently published structure of trans-1, $1^{\prime}$-bis(indenylidene). ${ }^{31}$ As mentioned earlier, we believe that this side product arises from the $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$-catalyzed dehydrogenation of $\operatorname{Ind}-$ Ind, which is generated in situ during the formation of 7 .
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(29) Examples include ( $\eta^{5}$-Ind) $\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-Ind) W $(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\Delta \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}=0.07$ and $0.72 \AA)$ (Nesmeyanov, A. W.; Ustynyuk, N. A.; Makarova, L. G.; Andrianov, V. G.; Struchkov, Y. T.; Andrae, S.; Ustynyuk, Y. A.; Malyugina, S. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 159, 189) and $\left(\eta^{3}-\operatorname{Ind}\right) \operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{3}(\Delta \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}=0.79$ A) (ref 19). For detailed discussions of Ind hapticity, see refs $15 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$.
(30) Symmetry code: (i) $-x+1,-y,-z+2$.
(31) Caparelli, M. V.; Machado, R.; De Sanctis, Y.; Arce, A. J. Acta Crystallogr. 1996, C52, 947.

H5-H6


Figure 7. $300 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of complex $7 \mathbf{b}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (*, trace $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ).
Table 3. Crystal Data, Data Collection, and Structure Refinement Parameters of 7a, 7b, and 8a

|  | 7a | 7b | 8a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{79} \mathrm{ClP}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{ClP}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{Pd}_{1}$ |
| mol wt | 1038.36 | 887.94 | 564.87 |
| cryst color, habit | orange, block | red, block | yellow, block |
| cryst dimens, mm | $0.12 \times 0.17 \times 0.34$ | $0.29 \times 0.30 \times 0.51$ | $0.20 \times 0.30 \times 0.42$ |
| system | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| space group | $P 2{ }_{1} / n$ | Cc | $P 2{ }_{1} / c$ |
| $a, \AA$ | 17.481(3) | 13.8689(3) | 17.0179(2) |
| $b, \AA$ | 16.598(3) | 14.2117(3) | 9.4258(1) |
| $c, \AA$ | 17.740(3) | 19.1372(6) | 18.1497(3) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta$, deg | 109.518(7) | 104.345(2) | 115.208(1) |
| $\gamma$, deg | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| volume, $\AA^{3}$ | 4851.6(13) | 3654.35(16) | 2634.09(6) |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $D$ (calcd), $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 1.422 | 1.614 | 1.424 |
| diffractometer | Bruker AXS, Smart 2K | Bruker AXS, Smart 2K | Bruker AXS, Smart 2K |
| temp, K | 100 | 220 | 100 |
| $\lambda, \AA$ | 1.54178 | 1.54178 | 1.54178 |
| $\mu, \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 7.363 | 9.684 | 8.201 |
| scan type | $\omega$ scan | $\omega$ scan | $\omega$ scan |
| $F(000)$ | 2168 | 1784 | 1176 |
| $\theta_{\text {max }}$, deg | 53.43 | 72.95 | 72.84 |
| $h, k, l$ range | $-18 \leq h \leq 18$ | $-16 \leq h \leq 17$ | $-20 \leq h \leq 21$ |
|  | $-17 \leq k \leq 17$ | $-17 \leq k \leq 17$ | $-11 \leq k \leq 11$ |
|  | $-18 \leq l \leq 18$ | $-22 \leq l \leq 19$ | $-21 \leq l \leq 19$ |
| no. of reflns collected/unique | $59157 / 5653$ | $14769 / 5858$ | 21 228/5049 |
| absorption | multiscans | multiscans | multiscans |
| correction | SADABS | SADABS | SADABS |
| $T$ (min, max) | 0.08, 0.29 | 0.06, 0.22 | $0.19,0.37$ |
| $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right], R_{\mathrm{w}}\left(F^{2}\right)$ | 0.0549, 0.1253 | 0.0535, 0.1369 | 0.0308, 0.0798 |
| GOF | 0.976 | 1.041 | 1.039 |

## Conclusion

The reaction of the dimer $\left[\left(\eta^{3}-\operatorname{Ind}\right) \operatorname{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\right]_{2}$ (1) with a variety of ligands has resulted in the formation of complexes featuring Ind ligands of different hapticities, underlining the influence of the auxiliary ligand(s) on the hapticity of Ind. Thus, reaction with phosphines or $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ gives $\left(\eta^{3-5}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{Cl}(2$ and 3), whereas ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuNC}, \mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$, and pyridine give the $\eta^{1}$-Ind complexes $\left[\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{Ind}\right)(t-\mathrm{BuNC}) \mathrm{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\right]_{2}$ or trans- $\left(\eta^{1}\right.$-Ind $) \mathrm{PdL}_{2^{-}}$ $\mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}(4)\right.$, py (5)). On the other hand, the one-pot reaction of 1 with a mixture of $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ and the phosphine ligands $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ gave the mixed-ligand amino and phosphine species $\left(\eta^{1}\right.$-Ind $) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(6)$, which can also be prepared by addition of $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ to $(\eta$ - Ind$) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ (2). Compounds 6 serve as models for the postulated intermediates in the associative ligand displacement reactions $(\eta$-Ind) $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{L})$ $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{L}^{\prime} \rightarrow(\eta$-Ind $) \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{L}$.

Gradual decomposition of $\mathbf{6}$ in solution generates the dinuclear $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{I}}$ compounds $\left(\mu, \eta^{3}-\mathrm{Ind}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}^{2}\right) \mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (7), displaying a rare mode of Ind hapticity. A brief examination of the reactivities of these new species with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ or MeMgCl has shown that they give, respectively, the monomeric products [ $\eta$ Ind) $\left.\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ and $\left(\eta\right.$-Ind) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Me}$ plus the (undetected) fragment $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{n}$. Our preliminary observations suggest that complexes 7 are formed via a comproportionation reaction from Ind $-\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{n}$ species and undergo spontaneous disproportionation reaction to regenerate these precursors. These results suggest that the possibility of such disproportionation reactions occurring in other $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{I}}$ complexes should be taken into account, especially in view of the recent reports on the catalytic reactivities exhibited by some of these complexes. ${ }^{32}$ We continue to probe further this issue, in particular, and the chemistry of these $\mu, \eta^{3}$-Ind compounds in general.

Table 4. Selected Bond Distances ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) for 7a and 7b

|  | 7a | 7b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd1-Cl | 2.4301(19) | 2.411(2) |
| Pd1-P1 | 2.293(2) | 2.260(2) |
| Pd1-C1 | 2.107(7) | 2.072(7) |
| Pd1-C2 | 2.425(7) | 2.405(7) |
| Pd2-Cl | 2.4207(19) | 2.432(2) |
| Pd2-P2 | 2.280(2) | 2.274(2) |
| Pd2-C2 | 2.412(7) | 2.401(8) |
| Pd2-C3 | 2.106(7) | 2.122(7) |
| Pd1-C7a | 2.988(7) | 2.929(8) |
| Pd2-C3a | 2.974(7) | 2.930(7) |
| $\mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{Pd} 2$ | 2.5971(8) | 2.6031(6) |
| C1-C2 | 1.418(10) | 1.422(11) |
| C2-C3 | $1.415(10)$ | $1.427(11)$ |
| C3-C3a | 1.496(10) | 1.480(12) |
| C3a-C7a | $1.429(11)$ | $1.419(11)$ |
| C7a-C1 | $1.476(10)$ | 1.502(11) |
| C3a-C4 | 1.403(10) | 1.392(12) |
| C4-C5 | 1.379(11) | 1.400(15) |
| C5-C6 | 1.377(10) | 1.391(14) |
| C6-C7 | 1.417(10) | 1.399 (14) |
| C7-C7a | 1.389(10) | 1.379(12) |
| C1-Pd1-P1 | 103.1(2) | 99.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 113.52 (7) | 115.60(6) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{P} 2$ | 57.45(5) | 57.89(5) |
| $\mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 86.0(2) | 86.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{P} 2$ | 99.5(2) | 101.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 115.42(7) | 114.34(7) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{Pd} 1$ | 57.80(5) | 57.10(5) |
| $\mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 87.4(2) | 87.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd} 2$ | 64.74(5) | 65.01(5) |
| $\Delta \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}(\AA)^{a}$ | 0.87 | 0.83 |
| HA (deg) | 5.61 | 1.92 |
| FA (deg) | 12.49 | 4.69 |

${ }^{a} \Delta \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}=0.5(\mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a})-0.5(\mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{C} 1+\mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{C} 3)$.

## Experimental Section

General Comments. All manipulations and experiments were performed under inert atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques and/or a nitrogen-filled glovebox. Dry, oxygen-free solvents were prepared by distillation from appropriate drying agents and employed throughout. The syntheses of $\left[\left(\eta^{3}-\operatorname{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\right]_{2}(\mathbf{1}),(\eta-\operatorname{Ind}) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)-$ Cl (2), $\left(\eta\right.$-Ind) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Me}$, and $\left[(\eta\right.$ - Ind$\left.) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ have been reported previously; ${ }^{8}$ all other reagents used in the experiments were obtained from commercial sources and used as received. The elemental analyses were performed by the Laboratoire d'Analyse Elémentaire (Université de Montréal). Bruker AV500, ARX400, AV400, AMX300, and AV300 spectrometers were employed for recording ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(500,400$, and 300 MHz ), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(126,100\right.$, and 75 MHz ), and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}(202$, 161 , and 121 MHz ) NMR spectra at ambient temperature, unless otherwise specified. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were referenced to solvent resonances, as follows: 7.26 and 77.16 ppm for $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 7.16$ and 128.06 ppm for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5} H$ and $C_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 5.30$ and 53.52 for $\mathrm{CDHCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 2.11$ and 21.10 for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra were referenced to $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(0 \mathrm{ppm})$.

Crystal Structure Determinations. The crystal data for complexes $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6 a}, \mathbf{7 a}, \mathbf{7 b}$, and 8a were collected on Bruker AXS Smart 2K and 1 K (for 4 ) diffractometers using SMART. ${ }^{33}$ Graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation was used at 100 K for all crystals except those of $\mathbf{7 b}$, for which the temperature was 220 K , and $\mathbf{4}$, for which the radiation used was $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ at 125 K . Cell refinement and data reduction

[^9]were done using SAINT. ${ }^{34}$ All structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS9735 and difmap synthesis using SHELXL97; ${ }^{36}$ the refinements were done on $F^{2}$ by full-matrix least squares. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, while the hydrogens (isotropic) were constrained to the parent atom using a riding model. The crystal data and experimental details are listed in Tables 1 and 3, while selected bond distances and angles are listed in Tables 2 and 4. The Ind moiety and the Cl atom in the crystal structure of 5 were disordered over two positions, with respective occupancy factors of $0.58 / 0.42$ and $0.61 / 0.39$. One of the $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ groups present within the crystal structure of 4 was also disordered over two positions (0.69/ 0.31 ). Each of the disorders was refined anisotropically using restraints (SAME/SADI/EADP/DFIX) applied in order to improve the model. The reported structure of $\mathbf{6 a}$ is based on the PLATON/SQUEEZE ${ }^{37}$ corrected data (volume of the potential solvent $=168 \AA^{3}$; improvement of $4.1 \%$ in R1 while correcting for 41 electrons/cell).

Synthesis of $(\boldsymbol{\eta}$ - $\mathbf{I n d}) \mathbf{P d}\left(\mathbf{N E t}_{3}\right) \mathbf{C l}$ (3). $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(441 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ suspension $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ of $\left[\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\right]_{2}(\mathbf{1}$; $650 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. After being stirred for 2 h , the resulting brown solution was concentrated to ca. 5 mL , and 15 mL of hexane was added. A brown powder precipitated and was isolated by filtration and washed with hexane ( $650 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). Recrystallization of a small portion of this solid from a $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ /hexane solution yielded crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies and elemental analysis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.91\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{7}\right), 6.69(\mathrm{br}$, $H_{5}$ and $\left.H_{6}\right), 6.48\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{4}\right), 6.34\left(\mathrm{br}, H_{2}\right), 5.62\left(\mathrm{br}, H_{1}\right)$, $4.68\left(\mathrm{br}, H_{3}\right), 2.63-2.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.73\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 263 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 6.93-6.91\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H} 7-\mathrm{H} 6}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, ${ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H} 7-\mathrm{H} 1}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{7}$ ), 6.69 (quintuplet of doublets, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ${ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{5}$ and $\left.H_{6}\right), 6.45\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H} 4-\mathrm{H} 5}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H} 4-\mathrm{H} 3}=0.9\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, H_{4}\right), 6.31\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{2}\right), 5.59\left(\mathrm{td},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 2}={ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 3}=\right.$ $\left.2.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 7}=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{1}\right), 4.61\left(\mathrm{td},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{H} 2}={ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{H} 1}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{H} 4}=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{3}\right), 2.54\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.73\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=\right.$ $\left.6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 139.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{3 \mathrm{a}}\right.$ or $\left.C_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 128.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{5}\right), 126.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{6}\right), 119.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{7}\right), 115.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{4}\right)$, $111.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{2}\right), 81.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{3}\right), 72.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{1}\right), 50.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 10.64(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). The missing resonance for C 3 a or C 7 a is probably obscured under the residual solvent resonances at ca. 129 ppm . Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{Pd}_{1}$ : C, $50.29 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.19 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.91$. Found: C, $50.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.27$; N, 3.76.

Synthesis of $\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{1}}\right.$-Ind) $\mathbf{P d}\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C l}$ (4). Method A. Benzylamine $(127 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ suspension $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ of $\left[\left(\eta^{3}-\operatorname{Ind}\right) \operatorname{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\right]_{2}(\mathbf{1} ; 150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. The yellow-green mixture was stirred approximately 2 h , filtered, and evaporated to dryness to give a yellow solid which was washed with hexane ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ). Recrystallization of a small portion of this solid from a $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} /$ hexane solution yielded crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies.

Method B. Benzylamine ( $61 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ solution ( 15 mL ) of (Ind) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{3} ; 100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. After being stirred for 2 h , the resulting browngreen mixture was concentrated to ca. 5 mL . A yellow powder precipitated and was isolated by filtration and washed with hexane (110 $\mathrm{mg}, 84 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.64\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $H_{4}$ or $\left.H_{7}\right), 7.45\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{7}\right.$ or $\left.H_{4}\right), 7.36-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ph})$, $7.23\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{6}\right.$ or $\left.H_{5}\right), 7.17\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{5}\right.$ or $\left.H_{6}\right)$, $7.11-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 6.82\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{3}\right), 6.62\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=\right.$ 5.0 Hz and $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{2}\right), 4.72\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{1}\right), 3.84$, $3.34\left(\mathrm{td},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.37,1.61$ (brt,
(34) SAINT, Release 6.06; Integration Software for Single-Crystal Data, Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, 1999.
(35) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS, Program for the solution of Crystal Structures; University of Goettingen: Goettingen, Germany, 1997.
(36) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL, Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures; University of Goettingen: Goettingen, Germany, 1997.
(37) Spek, A. L. PLATON; University of Utrecht: Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2002.
$\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH} 2\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.70\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}\right.$ $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{4}$ or $\left.H_{7}\right), 7.40\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{7}\right.$ or $\left.H_{4}\right), 7.19-7.16$, $7.04-6.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ph}, H_{5}\right.$ and $\left.H_{6}\right), 6.67\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{3}\right), 6.60(\mathrm{dd}$, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=4.9$ and $\left.2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{2}\right), 4.52\left(\mathrm{br}, H_{1}\right) 3.68,3.13\left(\mathrm{td},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=11.8\right.$ and ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.13, 1.33 (brt, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{NH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 149.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right.$ or $\left.C_{3 \mathrm{a}}\right), 141.72(\mathrm{~s}$, $C_{3 \mathrm{a}}$ or $C_{7 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $138.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{\mathrm{ipso}}\right), 138.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{2}\right), 128.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{\text {ortho }}\right), 128.66$ ( $\mathrm{s}, C_{6}$ or $C_{5}$ ) 128.22 (s, $C_{\text {meta }}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {para }}$ ), 124.44 ( $\mathrm{s}, C_{5}$ or $C_{6}$ ), 123.76 ( s , $C_{4}$ or $C_{7}$ ), $121.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{7}\right.$ or $\left.C_{4}\right), 120.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{3}\right), 49.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.08$ (s, $C_{1}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{1}$ : C, $58.61 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.35 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.94$. Found: C, 59.04; H, 5.28; N, 5.37.

Synthesis of $\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{1}-\mathbf{I n d}\right) \mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{P y})_{2} \mathbf{C l}(\mathbf{5})$. Method A. Pyridine $(82 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ suspension ( 25 mL ) of $\left[\left(\eta^{3}-\right.\right.$ $\operatorname{Ind}) \operatorname{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})]_{2}(1 ; 130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. The yellow-brown mixture was stirred for approximately 2 h , filtered, and evaporated to dryness to give a yellow solid ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ).

Method B. Pyridine ( $207 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ solution $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ of $(\mathrm{Ind}) \operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{3} ; 460 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. After being stirred for 3 h , the resulting brown-green mixture was concentrated to ca. 10 mL . A yellow powder precipitated and was isolated by filtration and washed with hexane ( $450 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ). Recrystallization of a small portion of this solid from a $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} /$ hexane solution yielded crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.38\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{\text {ortho }}\right)$, $7.45\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{\text {para }}\right), 7.01\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{\text {meta }}\right), 6.93-$ $6.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, H_{4-7}\right), 6.68\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=4.1\right.$ and $\left.1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{2}\right), 6.45\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}\right.$ $\left.=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{3}\right), 4.77\left(\mathrm{br}, H_{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $151.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{\text {ortho }}\right), 150.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right.$ or $C_{3 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $142.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{3 \mathrm{a}}\right.$ or $C_{7 \mathrm{a}}$ ), 137.28 ( $\mathrm{s}, C_{2}$ ), 136.94 (s, $C_{\text {para }}$ ), 124.33 (s, $C_{\text {meta }}$ ), 123.55 ( $\mathrm{s}, C_{3}$ ), 127.24, 124.93, 122.84, 120.50 (s, $C_{4-7}$ ), 42.54 (s, $C_{1}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Pd}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 52.67 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.42 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.47$. Found: C, $52.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.89 ; \mathrm{N}$, 5.97.

Synthesis of $\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{1}-\mathbf{I n d}\right) \mathbf{P d}\left(\mathbf{P C y}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{B n N H}_{2}\right) \mathbf{C l}(\mathbf{6 a})$. Method A. Benzylamine ( $170 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of [ $\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-Ind)-$\mathrm{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})]_{2}(1 ; 400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The brown mixture was stirred for 30 min , and $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ (436 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The orange-brown mixture was stirred for approximately 1 h , filtered, and evaporated to dryness to give an orange solid ( $850 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ).

Method B. $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}(76 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ solution ( 20 mL ) of ( Ind ) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{2 a} ; 375 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. After being stirred for 2 h , the resulting orange solution was evaporated to dryness, and 10 mL of hexane was added. An orange powder precipitated and was isolated by filtration ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ). Recrystallization of this solid from a cold hexane solution yielded crystals of $\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{6 a}),\left(\mu, \eta^{3}-\mathrm{Ind}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (7a), and $\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathbf{8 a})$ suitable for X-ray diffraction studies and elemental analysis.

6a. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 7.95,7.41,6.98,6.82,6.66$ (br, $H_{2-7}$ ), 5.03 (br, $H_{1}$ ), $3.85\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.44-1.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 121 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 41.5(\mathrm{~s}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, 263 K ): $\delta 7.96-7.94,7.50-7.48,7.23-6.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ph}\right.$ and $\left.H_{4-7}\right), 6.83$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{2}\right), 6.80-6.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, H_{4-7}\right), 6.68\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=4.9\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, H_{3}\right), 4.94\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{1}\right), 3.82\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $3.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.44-1.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{PC} y_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}\right.$, $126 \mathrm{MHz}, 263 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 151.76$ (s, $C_{7 \mathrm{7}}$ ), 144.68 ( $\mathrm{s}, C_{3 \mathrm{a}}$ ), 138.94 ( $\mathrm{s}, C_{\mathrm{ips}}$ ), 129.53, 129.02, 128.83, 128.69, 128.60, 128.49, 127.82, 127.65, 127.06, 125.77, 124.84, 124.62, 123.94, 121.74, 121.69 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ph}$ and $C_{2-7}$ ), 48.77, $47.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, C H_{2}\right), 38.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{1}\right), 34.61\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=22.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\mathrm{ipso}}\right), 31.09$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\text {ortho }}\right), 28.41\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\text {meta }}\right), 27.48(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.C_{\text {para }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}, 202 \mathrm{MHz}, 263 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 40.5(\mathrm{~s})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{Pd}_{1}$ : C, $63.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.66 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.17$. Found: C, $63.48 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.88; N, 2.05 .

Synthesis of $\left(\mu, \boldsymbol{\eta}^{3}-\mathrm{Ind}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathbf{P d}_{2}\left(\mathbf{P C y}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{7 a})\right.$. After several hours in solution, the complex $\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right) \mathrm{PdCl}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{Ind}\right)(6 a)$ gave $\left(\mu, \eta^{3}-\right.$ $\operatorname{Ind})(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(7 \mathbf{a})$ as an orange solid. Recrystallization of this
solid from a cold hexane solution yielded crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies and elemental analysis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 7.35\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ and ${ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{5}$ and $\left.H_{6}\right), 7.04(\mathrm{dd}$, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and ${ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{4}$ and $\left.H_{7}\right), 5.81\left(\mathrm{td},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=7.3\right.$ Hz and ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{1}$ and $H_{3}$ ), 4.22 (quintuplet, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}={ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=$ $\left.\left.3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.04-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{PCy})_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 161.92 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 38.5(\mathrm{~s}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 263 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 6.97\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=\right.$ 3.0 Hz and ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{5}$ and $\left.H_{6}\right), 6.72\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ and ${ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{4}$ and $\left.H_{7}\right), 5.44\left(\mathrm{td},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ and ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, H_{1}$ and $H_{3}$ ), 3.75 (quintuplet, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}={ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{2}$ ), 2.01$0.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{PCy} y_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}, 263 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 145.68$ ( $\mathrm{s}, C_{7 \mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}$ ), 122.84 (s, $C_{4}$ and $C_{7}$ ), 121.82 (s, $C_{5}$ and $C_{6}$ ), 71.19 (s, $C_{2}$ ), $48.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{1}\right.$ and $\left.C_{3}\right), 34.64\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\mathrm{ipso}}\right), 30.11(\mathrm{~s}$, $C_{\text {ortho }}$ ), 27.35 (s, $C_{\text {meta }}$ ), 26.15 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, C_{\text {рата }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 202\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, 263 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 37.7$ (s). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{73} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ : C, 62.46; H, 7.67. Found: C, 62.39; H, 7.93.

Synthesis of $\left(\mathbf{B n N H}_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{P C y}_{3}\right) \mathbf{P d C l}_{2}$ (8a). Recrystallization of $\left(\eta^{1-}\right.$ Ind) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(6 \mathbf{a})$ in hexane gave yellow crystals, which were identified as the complex $\left(\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathbf{8 a})$ on the basis of the NMR spectra and X-ray analysis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 7.40,6.98,6.87\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}_{6} H_{5}\right), 3.83\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.54-1.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 121 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 44.11(\mathrm{~s})$.
$\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{1}-\mathrm{Ind}\right) \mathbf{P d}\left(\mathbf{B n N H}_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right) \mathbf{C l}(\mathbf{6 b}),\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathrm{Ind}\right)\left(\mu-\mathbf{C l}^{2}\right) \mathbf{P d}_{2}\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}(7 \mathrm{~b})$, and $\left(\mathbf{B n N H}_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right) \mathbf{P d C l}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{8 b})$. NMR-scale preparation of $\mathbf{6 b}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$, either by the reaction of $\mathbf{1}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0019 \mathrm{mmol})$ with a mixture of $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}(4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0039 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0039 \mathrm{mmol})$ or by the reaction of $\mathbf{2 b}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.058 \mathrm{mmol})$ with $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}(8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.058$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, showed formation of $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{b}$. Monitoring these samples indicated that the initially formed $\mathbf{6 b}$ is gradually converted to $\mathbf{7 b}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ after a few hours. All attempts at purifying the mixtures obtained from largescale reactions led to the isolation of pure samples of $\mathbf{7 b}$ only. Therefore, $\mathbf{7 b}$ has been characterized fully (vide infra), whereas $\mathbf{6 b}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ were characterized by NMR spectra only, as described below.

6b. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.01-7.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 7.48,7.31$ (d, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{4}$ and $H_{7}$ ), $7.1-6.8\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, H_{5}\right.$ and $\left.H_{6}\right), 6.55$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{3}\right), 6.44\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{2}\right), 4.55\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}\right.$ $\left.=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{1}\right), 3.86,3.38\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{N} H_{2}\right), 2.53,2.13\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}, 263 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.00-7.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 7.46\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=\right.$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{4}$ or $\left.H_{7}\right), 7.38\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{7}\right.$ or $\left.H_{4}\right), 7.2-6.9(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and $\left.H_{5-6}\right), 6.80\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}\right.$ and $\left.H_{5-6}\right), 6.56\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}\right.$ $\left.=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{3}\right), 6.42\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{2}\right), 4.47\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.H_{1}\right), 3.92,3.54,2.28\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.28,0.74,0.25\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (C7D $\left.{ }_{8}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}, 263 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 150.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 143.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{3 \mathrm{a}}\right), 141.19$ (s, $C_{\text {ipso }} \mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ ), $139.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{2}\right), 135.71\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\text {ortho }}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 132.33\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=49.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\mathrm{ipso}} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 131.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{\text {para }} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$, 129.34 (s, $C_{\text {ortho }} \mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ ), $129.16\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\text {meta }} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right.$ ), 129.00 ( $\mathrm{s}, C_{\text {meta }} \mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ ), 127.93 ( $\mathrm{s}, C_{\text {para }} \mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ ), 124.93, 124.78, 124.30, $122.00,121.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{3-7}\right), 48.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, C H_{2}\right), 46.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{1}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 161 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 37.1(\mathrm{~s}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}, 202 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, 263 K ): $\delta 37.0$ (s).

Isolation of 7b. Method A. Benzylamine ( $127 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\left[\left(\eta^{3}-\operatorname{Ind}\right) \operatorname{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\right]_{2}(\mathbf{1} ; 300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The brown mixture was stirred for 10 min , and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(303 \mathrm{mg}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The resulting brown-red mixture was stirred for approximately 1 h , filtered, and evaporated to dryness. The orange residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and layered with hexane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give an orange precipitate, which was isolated as a fine powder by filtration ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ ). Recrystallization of a small portion of this powder from a $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /$ hexane solution yielded crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies and elemental analysis.

Method B. $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}(31 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ solution $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ of $(\mathrm{Ind}) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{2 b} ; 150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. After being stirred for 2 h , the resulting orange solution was evaporated to dryness, and 10 mL of hexane was added. An orange powder precipitated and was isolated by filtration ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.69-7.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 7.48-7.38(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 6.52\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ and ${ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{5}$ and $\left.H_{6}\right), 5.98$ $\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ and ${ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{4}$ and $\left.H_{7}\right), 5.12\left(\mathrm{td},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}\right.$ $=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), 4.22 (quintuplet, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=$ $\left.3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 143.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right.$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $134.69\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\text {ortho }}\right), 134.62\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=43.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $C_{\text {ipso }}$ ), $130.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{\text {para }}\right), 129.00\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\mathrm{meta}}\right), 124.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{5}\right.$ and $\left.C_{6}\right), 121.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{4}\right.$ and $\left.C_{7}\right), 78.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{2}\right), 57.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{1}\right.$ and $\left.C_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 121 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 25.7$ (s). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{37}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}$ : C, 60.86; H, 4.20. Found: C, 60.32; H, 4.13.

8b. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.73-7.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, C_{6} H_{5}\right), 4.13(\mathrm{br}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.02\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NH} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 121 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 28.59$ (s).

Reaction of Complex 7b with $\mathbf{A g B F}_{4}$. A mixture of $\left(\mu, \eta^{3}\right.$-Ind) $(\mu$ $\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(7 \mathbf{b} ; 140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 2 h at room temperature and filtered to remove AgCl . Concentration of the filtrate to ca. 5 mL , followed by addition of ca. 15 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, gave $\left[(\eta\right.$ - Ind$\left.) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ as an orange precipitate, which was isolated by filtration ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ ).

Reaction of Complex 7b with $\mathbf{M e M g C l}$. This reaction was monitored by spectroscopy, without isolating the resulting products. A solution of $\mathrm{MeMgCl}(4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a 3 M solution in THF) was added at room temperature to a $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{C}{ }_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ solution of $\left(\mu, \eta^{3}-\mathrm{Ind}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ $(7 \mathbf{b} ; 10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol})$ in an NMR tube. The NMR spectra of this sample showed the complete conversion of $\mathbf{7 b}$ to $(\eta-\operatorname{Ind}) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Me}$.
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